This map displays or describes all resource recommendations proposed in the Continuation of Current Manage ment Alternative. The legend in some cases makes refer-ence to other maps in the map addendum and to tables

All information should be seen in order to fully understand the overall alternative.

RESOURCE RECOMMENDATIONS DISPLAYED ON THIS MAP

Wilderness Management Aquatic Habitat Management Forest Management Recreation Resource Management Minerals Management

RESOURCE RECOMMENDATIONS NOT DISPLAYED ON THIS MAP

Water Yield Management (not mapped) Critical Watershed Areas (not mapped) Critical Watershed Areas (not mapped)
Livestock Grazing Management (not mapped)
Livestock Grazing Management (see map 3-14)
Cultural Resource Management (not mapped)
Paleontological Resource Management (not mapped)
Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (not mapped)
Visual Resource Management (see map 3-29)
Land Tenure Management (not mapped)
Off-Road Vehicle Management (not mapped)
Transportation Management (see map 3-38)
Utility and Communication Facilities Management
(not mapped)

(not mapped) Fire Management (not mapped)

PUBLIC LAND within study area boundary

PRIVATE LAND within study area boundary

All land outside of study area boundary WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT

Water quality management would be implemented through other resource programs. Measures would be included in other project proposals that would help to improve water quality or that would mitigate adverse impacts to water quality.

WATER YIELD MANAGEMENT

No programs would be implemented to increase water yield in the resource area.

CRITICAL WATERSHED AREAS

Projects proposed within critical watershed areas would comply with all applicable local, state, and federal regulations to prevent watershed problems but no special protective measures would be provided.

WILDERNESS MANAGEMENT

Approximately 10,755 acres would be identified as suitable for designation as wilderness as shown on the

AQUATIC HABITAT MANAGEMENT

The fisheries habitat of streams on the Naval Oil Shale Reserve (NOSR) would be improved as shown on the map. Habitat conditions on the remaining streams within the resource area would be maintained on a case-by-case basis as funding became available.

TERRESTRIAL HABITAT MANAGEMENT

The objective of this alternative would be to provide sufficient big game habitat to meet existing forage demand (5-year average use). With the limited amount of intensive habitat management proposed, this alternative would fall 12% short of meeting the objective. Limited management of other wildlife species would occur as funding and manpower became available.

FOREST MANAGEMENT

The annual allowable harvest would be 1.8 million board feet for productive forest land and 3,720 cords of fuelwood for woodland. Areas suitable for forest management are shown on the map.

Productive Forest Land

Woodland

RECREATION RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Recreation opportunity spectrum management classes would be adopted as shown on Map 3-23. All existing recreation area designations and sites shown on the map would continue to be maintained at present levels.

A Existing Developed Recreation Sites A Proposed Developed Recreation Sites

△ Existing Undeveloped Recreation Sites

A Proposed Semi-developed Sites

Existing River Access Sites

Proposed River Access Sites

Existing Trails Proposed Trails

Proposed Trailheads

Proposed Recreation Management Areas

CMA Cooperative Management Area MINERALS MANAGEMENT

Proposed Area Designations

All existing restrictions on mineral activity would apply as shown on the map. Map 3-6 shows, by type of restriction, the specific limitations. Areas shown on Map 3-6 would be acceptable for further consideration for coal leasing.

LIVESTOCK GRAZING MANAGEMENT

The objective of this alternative would be to provide sufficient livestock forage to meet existing demand (5-year average use) for livestock grazing. The proposed forage allocation with vegetation manipulation would fall short of this goal by 10% overall. However, livestock grazing would continue on all existing allotments. See Map 3-14 for locations of allotments and Table F - 1 for forage allocations by allotment.

CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

All projects would be inventoried for cultural resource prior to project approval as required by law.

All projects would be inventoried for paleontological resources prior to project approval as required by law.

PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

AREAS OF CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN

No areas of critical environmental concern would be

VISUAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

LAND TENURE ADJUSTMENTS

All proposals, both existing and future, for land acquisition and disposal would be handled on a case-by-case basis.

OFF-ROAD VEHICLE MANAGEMENT

All public land in the resource area would be designated open to off-road vehicle use.

TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT

No new roads would be added on public land (see Map 3-38). However, 217 miles of existing roads and 8 miles of existing trails would be provided,

UTILITY AND COMMUNICATION FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

All proposals to construct utility and communication facilities within the resource area would be considered on a case-by-case basis as they are received.

FIRE MANAGEMENT

All fires would be managed as they occurred using existing firefighting forces.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Bureau of Land Management 1982

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN MAP

Continuation of Current Management Alternative

WEST 1/2

GLENWOOD SPRINGS RESOURCE AREA

GRAND JUNCTION DISTRICT COLORADO





